

Received Date : 05-Jan-2014
Revised Date : 13-Jun-2014
Accepted Date : 17-Jun-2014
Article type : Standard Paper
Editor : David Grémillet
Section : Animal Physiological Ecology

DAILY ENERGY EXPENDITURE DURING LACTATION IS STRONGLY SELECTED IN A FREE-LIVING MAMMAL

Quinn E. Fletcher^{1,6,*}, John R. Speakman^{2,3}, Stan Boutin⁴, Jeffrey E. Lane^{4,7}, Andrew G. McAdam⁵, Jamieson C. Gorrell⁴, David W. Coltman⁴, Murray M. Humphries¹

1. Department of Natural Resource Sciences, Macdonald Campus, McGill University, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, H9X 3V9, Canada

2. Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK

3. State Key Laboratory of Molecular Developmental Biology, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, 1 West Beichen Road, Chaoyang, Beijing 100080, *China*

4. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2E9, Canada

5. Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, N1G 2W1, Canada

6. Current address: Département de biologie, chimie et géographie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 300 Allée des Ursulines, Rimouski, QC, G5L 3A1, Canada

7. Current address: Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan, 112 Science Place,

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi:

10.1111/1365-2435.12313

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5E2, Canada

*Corresponding author: Quinn E. Fletcher; Département de biologie, chimie et géographie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 300 Allée des Ursulines, Rimouski, QC, G5L 3A1, Canada; email: q.fletcher@gmail.com

Running headline: Natural selection on energy expenditure

Summary

1. Energy expenditure is a trait of central importance in ecological and evolutionary theory. We examined the correlates of, the strength of selection on, and the heritability of, daily energy expenditure (DEE; kJ/day) during lactation in free-ranging North American red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*).

2. Over seven years, lactating squirrels with greater DEE had higher annual reproductive success (ARS; standardized selection gradient: $\beta' = 0.47$; top 12% of published estimates). Surprisingly, positive fecundity selection on lactation DEE for increased ARS did not result because lactation DEE was correlated with typical measures of reproductive performance and/or investment.

3. We found no evidence of costs of elevated lactation DEE acting through female survival, subsequent year lactation DEE, or subsequent year reproduction.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

4. Lactation DEE was not significantly repeatable and heritability was not significantly different from zero.

5. Elevated lactation DEE enhances ARS through a link between DEE and an unidentified measure of maternal or environmental quality, but there is limited evolutionary potential for lactation DEE to respond to our documented selection.

Key words: Costs of reproduction, Energetics, Heritability, Reproductive success

Introduction

Energy expenditure is a trait of central importance in ecological and evolutionary theory. The rate at which animals expend energy is an important determinant of the resource needs, demographics and distributions of populations (Damuth 1981; Yodzis & Innes 1992; Carbone & Gittleman 2002; Brown et al. 2004; Kearney & Porter 2009; Humphries & McCann 2013). The fitness-promoting activities of growth (Wieser 1994), reproduction (Drent & Daan 1980; Gittleman & Thompson 1988), and maintenance (Delahay, Speakman & Moss 1995; Martin, Scheuerlein & Wikelski 2003; Scantlebury et al. 2007; Careau, Thomas & Humphries 2010 but see Speakman & Król 2010) are all energetically demanding. As a result, a large body of literature has examined the importance of energy expenditure as a determinant of allocation trade-offs (e.g. Metcalfe & Monaghan 2001; Zera & Harshman 2001) and fitness itself (see Table 1).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

At first glance, a positive relationship between energy expenditure and reproductive success is expected because reproduction is energetically costly (McNab 2002; Angilletta 2009). Thus, if animals can expend more energy during reproduction, they should have increased reproductive success. However, high rates of energy expenditure may not be correlated with reproductive investment or success because energy expenditure is also influenced by environmental factors. For example, blue tits (*Parus caeruleus*) expended the most energy in poor-food years when they raised the slowest growing chicks (Thomas et al. 2001 but see Verhulst & Tinbergen 2001).

When the relationship between energy expenditure and survival is considered, a negative relationship is often assumed because high rates of energy expenditure may be associated with greater physiological costs (reviewed in Zera & Harshman 2001). Experimental increases in reproductive investment (e.g. clutch size manipulations), which are presumably associated with increased energetic costs, are generally associated with increased mortality rates (Dijkstra et al. 1990). However, there are very few studies that quantify levels of energy expenditure, and those that do, find equivocal evidence for a negative effect of energy expenditure on survival (Drent & Daan 1980; Daan, Deerenberg & Dijkstra 1996; Humphries & Boutin 2000; Skibieli, Speakman & Hood 2013). Moreover, high rates of energy expenditure may in fact be associated with improved survival because they are indicative of animals in better physiological condition (e.g. increased cold tolerance – Swanson 2001).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Because energy expenditure is considered an important determinant of fitness (Table 1), researchers have repeatedly quantified the heritability of energy expenditure to predict how it would respond to selection. In order for energy expenditure to respond to selection, it must have an underlying genetic component (i.e. additive genetic variance, V_A ; Falconer & Mackay 1996; but see Bonduriansky, Crean & Day 2012 for a review of nongenetic inheritance). Currently, we have a poor understanding of the heritability of energy expenditure in free-ranging animals because the heritability of energetic traits have primarily been estimated in captivity (Lacy & Lynch 1979; Lynch & Sulzbach 1984; Garland & Bennett 1990; Ketola & Kotiaho 2009; Dohm, Hayes & Garland 1996; Dohm, Hayes & Garland 2001; Lerman et al. 2002; Nespolo, Bacigalupe & Bozinovic 2003; Bacigalupe et al. 2004; Khazaeli, Van Voorhies & Curtsinger 2005; Konarzewski, Książek & Łapo 2005; Nespolo et al. 2005; Sadowska et al. 2005; Nespolo, Castañeda & Roff 2007; Rønning et al. 2007; Sadowska et al. 2009; Tieleman et al. 2009; Wone et al. 2009; Careau et al. 2011; Mathot et al. 2013; Schimpf et al. 2013; for reviews see - Konarzewski & Książek 2013; White & Kearney 2013). The majority of the information regarding the heritability of energetic traits in free-ranging animals comes from estimates of repeatability, which sets an upper limit to heritability (Falconer & Mackay 1996, but see Dohm 2002). These studies generally find that energetic traits are repeatable in free-ranging animals (Chappell, Bachman & Odell 1995; Chappell, Zuk & Johnsen 1996; Bech, Langseth & Gabrielsen 1999; Hayes & O'Connor 1999; Nespolo & Franco 2007; Szafrńska, Zub & Konarzewski 2007; Boratyński & Koteja 2009; Broggi et al. 2009; Larivée et al. 2010; Bouwhuis, Sheldon & Verhulst 2011; but see Bozinovic 2007). The few studies that have quantified the heritability of energy expenditure in free-ranging animals have demonstrated that

it is significantly heritable (*Cyanistes caeruleus*, Nilsson, Akesson & Nilsson 2009; *Mustela nivalis*, Zub et al. 2012; *Ficedula hypoleuca*, Bushuev et al. 2012).

Development of the doubly-labeled water (DLW) technique (Lifson & McClintock 1966; Nagy 1983; Speakman 1997; Butler et al. 2004) represented a major advance in animal and human energetics research because it allowed energy expenditure to be quantified on free-living animals over multi-day periods (Drent & Daan 1980; Bryant 1997; Nagy, Girard & Brown 1999; Speakman 2000; Westerterp & Speakman 2008). The estimate of daily energy expenditure (DEE; kJ/day) includes the energy expended on maintaining baseline physiological processes, behavior, thermoregulation, as well as the costs required to process and allocate energy. The vast majority of research examining selection on, and the heritability of, energy expenditure has focused on minimum (i.e. resting and basal metabolic rate, RMR and BMR respectively; henceforth RMR) and maximum (MMR) metabolic rates (Table 1). These measures of energy expenditure are quantified in the laboratory under highly standardized conditions, over a period of minutes or hours. Research examining the fitness consequences and heritability of DEE has been forced to use smaller sample sizes, and has generally lagged behind research that has quantified RMR and MMR, due to the difficulty (i.e. animals must be captured twice over a period of days preferably at a specific time of day) and cost associated with quantifying DEE in a sufficient number of animals to be able to reasonably estimate natural selection.

In a previous study, we examined the correlates of female energy expenditure throughout the year. We found that lactation is an energetically demanding time of the year for free-ranging

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

red squirrels (Fletcher et al. 2012). We also found that lactation DEE was positively correlated with resource levels, unrelated to body mass, and positively correlated with ambient temperatures over a range of ambient temperatures that would have been below the lower critical temperature of its thermal neutral zone (Pauls 1981). Here, we examined the correlates of, the strength of selection on, and the heritability of, DEE during lactation in free-ranging North American red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*; henceforth, squirrels). Lactation is an energetically demanding time of the year for free-ranging red squirrels (Fletcher et al. 2012). In our study, the DEE of lactating squirrels was estimated in seven years at a consistent point during lactation. Detailed monitoring of the reproductive behavior of females allowed us to examine how a suite of typical correlates of reproductive performance (maternal mass and age) and measures of reproductive investment (parturition date, litter size, and nestling growth rate; e.g. Clutton-Brock [ed.] 1988) were correlated with lactation DEE. We examined both fecundity and viability selection on lactation DEE to assess potential trade-offs between these fitness components (Arnold & Wade 1984). Finally, we used an established pedigree from our study population to estimate the heritability of DEE. Combining these pieces of information allowed us to examine the fitness consequences of individual variation in lactation DEE, as well as the genetic influences on lactation DEE with the most comprehensive and the largest dataset of DEE measures used to address these questions to date (Table 1).

Methods

Study site and female reproduction monitoring

We studied a natural population of red squirrels in the Kluane region of southwestern Yukon, Canada (60° 57' N, 138° 2' W) from 2002 to 2008. Squirrels were monitored on two adjacent study areas (40 ha each) that were bisected by the Alaska Highway. Our study areas were in a glacial valley composed of boreal forest dominated by white spruce (*Picea glauca*), with an understory of willow (*Salix spp.*), interspersed with stands of trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), and some open meadows (Krebs & Boonstra 2001).

The reproductive activity of every female living on the two study areas was tracked following standardized protocols of live-trapping and natal nest entries (Berteaux & Boutin 2000; Humphries & Boutin 2000; Boon, Réale & Boutin 2007; McAdam et al. 2007; Lane et al. 2010). Overall, these protocols allowed us to determine the age of each breeding female, in addition to the parturition date, litter size, and average nestling growth rate of each litter. The majority of females examined in this study (90/117) were ear-tagged (Monel #1) within their natal nest, thus their ages were known with certainty. The birth year of immigrant females to the population was assigned to be the previous year if the color of her nipples suggested that she had never bred (i.e. pink nipples), otherwise, her birth year was assigned to be two years previous, and thus represents a minimum estimate (see also Becker, Boutin & Larsen 1998). All records from females five years of age and older (n = 18) were pooled into a single age class. From March to August, we live-trapped females and determined if they were pregnant or lactating by

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

palpating their abdomens and attempting to express milk from their nipples. Females were radio-collared as soon as they were determined to have given birth and were tracked to their nest. Nestlings were temporarily removed from the nest, individually marked, and weighed. The female's litter size was the number of nestlings present at this time. Parturition date (days since 1-Jan) was estimated based on trapping records (sudden weight loss or the expression of milk from teats), the weight of the nestlings the first time they were removed from the nest, or behavioral observations indicating parturition (i.e., spending more time in their nest, or the presence of large nipples visible through binoculars; see also Becker 1993; Boutin & Larsen 1993; Dantzer et al. 2013). Nestlings were temporarily removed from their nest a second time when they were ~25 days old to be weighed and ear-tagged. The average nestling growth rate (g/day) between the first and second time that the nestlings were removed from the nest was used in all analyses. For consistency with previous research at this site, only individuals attempting their first litter of the season were analyzed (McAdam & Boutin 2003; Réale et al. 2003). All animals were captured and handled in compliance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

Lactation daily energy expenditure

We obtained 147 DEE estimates from 117 lactating females using the doubly-labeled water (DLW) technique (Nagy 1983; Speakman 1997). We obtained repeated DEE measures on twenty-four percent of individuals ($n = 28$) with the median time difference between measures being approximately one year (median = 356 days, 1st – 3rd quartiles: 332 – 404 days). We attempted to

estimate the DEE of every female present on the two study areas during lactation when females were between 35 and 40 days post-partum (89% of samples were collected during this time range; the date range of the additional samples was between 33 – 49 days post-partum). This time window reflects a period when females likely experience peak energetic demands of lactation because nestlings have grown to their largest body size prior to first emergence from the nest when they start supplementing their diet with solid food (~42 days; S. Boutin unpublished data). Nestlings are fully weaned at ~ 70 days post-partum (S. Boutin, unpublished data).

The methodology of the DLW technique is presented in Fletcher et al. (2012a). Briefly, CO₂ production was calculated based on the differential washout of hydrogen (²H) and oxygen (¹⁸O) isotopes over a period of 2 – 5 days. Ninety-seven percent of final blood samples were obtained within two hours of a 24-h interval from the initial blood sample (141/146; for one individual, the times of the initial and final blood samples were not recorded), which controlled for circadian rhythms of activity (Speakman & Racey 1988; Speakman et al. 1994; Berteaux et al. 1996). CO₂ production over the DLW interval was converted to an estimate of DEE in kJ/day (Speakman 1997). We determined the mass of individuals at the beginning and end of the DLW technique. The average of these two masses was used in all analyses. One hundred and seventeen lactation DEE values were originally presented in Fletcher et al. (2012a).

Statistical analyses

Data screening and sample sizes

Observations were omitted from the analyses for three possible reasons: (1) the number of nestlings the second time the nest was entered was less than the first time it was entered due to partial litter loss (omitted from the correlates of lactation DEE and natural selection on lactation DEE analyses), (2) nests were entered for the first time when the nestlings were too old to estimate parturition dates, litter size and average nestling growth rates (omitted from the correlates of lactation DEE and natural selection on lactation DEE analyses), and (3) an unnatural death prevented the offspring or mother from surviving to 1-May the following year (omitted from the natural selection on lactation DEE analyses). Overall, 116 DEE estimates were included in the correlates of lactation DEE analysis, 136 DEE estimates were included in the selection analysis examining ARS, and 137 DEE estimates were included in the selection analysis examining maternal survival. All 147 lactation DEE measures were included in the heritability analysis.

Correlates of lactation DEE

We examined the correlates of lactation DEE using a linear mixed-model analysis (lme4: Bates & Maechler 2009). Year and squirrel identity (henceforth, ID) were included as random effects in this analysis. The significance of fixed effects was assessed using stepwise backwards elimination, with the fixed effects with the largest P values removed first, retaining all effects with $P \leq 0.05$. P -values were determined using likelihood ratio tests (-2 times the difference in log-likelihoods between hierarchical models estimated using maximum likelihood, tested against

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

a χ^2 distribution with the number of degrees of freedom equal to the difference in the number of terms estimated in the hierarchical models). Unless stated otherwise, significance was based on $\alpha < 0.05$ and all analyses were performed using R (R Development Core Team 2011).

Natural selection on lactation DEE

Following previous research at this study site, the fitness components of reproduction and survival were based on recapture data assuming that squirrels that disappeared from our study areas had died (McAdam & Boutin 2003; Réale et al. 2003; Boon et al. 2007). The fitness of a female resulting from her annual reproductive success (ARS; W_{ARS}) was defined as the number of her offspring surviving overwinter until 1-May the following year (McAdam & Boutin 2003). Survival to this age is a major episode of selection for juveniles in this population (McAdam et al. 2007). Our study considers the early survival of offspring as a component of the mother's fitness, rather than her offspring's fitness (see also Réale et al. 2003). The fitness of lactating females associated with her survival (W_S) was defined as whether or not she survived to 1-May the following year (1 = survived, 0 =died). Our ability to estimate ARS and maternal survival is enabled by the high visibility and fidelity of squirrels to their territories. Our estimates of ARS do not appear biased by dispersal from the study area because the recruitment of juveniles born in the core 20-ha of each study area is not different from the recruitment of juveniles born in the surrounding 20-ha periphery of the study areas (McAdam et al. 2007). In addition, capture-mark-recapture models performed on this population suggest that our probability of detecting a squirrel given that it is alive is one (Descamps et al. 2009). Our ability to determine the survival of squirrels likely results because our study areas are large in size (40 ha each) relative to the

dispersal distance of juvenile squirrels (mean dispersal distance = 96 ± 94 m from their natal area; Berteaux & Boutin 2000). Moreover, adult squirrels tend to occupy the same territory for their entire lives (S. Boutin, A. G. McAdam, and M. M. Humphries unpub. data).

Fitness components for each female were converted to relative fitness (w_{ARS} and w_S) by dividing the absolute fitness measures (W_{ARS} and W_S) by population average fitness measures (\bar{W}_{ARS} and \bar{W}_S). Average fitness measures were calculated each year including all females in this study, pooling the two study areas. Average fitness for ARS (\bar{W}_{ARS}) was the mean number of offspring surviving to the following year, whereas average fitness for maternal survival (\bar{W}_S) was the proportion of females surviving to the following year.

To examine the relationship between lactation DEE and both ARS and maternal survival, we estimated standardized selection gradients following Lande and Arnold (1983). Maternal traits were standardized (zero mean, unit standard deviation) within each year to avoid confounding selection gradients with among-year covariances between environmental conditions, trait values, and fitness (see McAdam & Boutin 2003; Garant et al. 2007). The standardized selection gradients (β') of the maternal traits were the coefficients generated from a linear model. Using a separate linear model, we also estimated standardized quadratic selection gradients for the traits (γ_{ii} ; two times the regression coefficient, following Stinchcombe et al. 2008) and the correlational selection gradients (γ_{ij}) between all standardized maternal traits. Standard errors (SE) for all selection analyses were determined using a jackknifing procedure in which all records for each individual were removed during each iteration. The significance of the

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

differentials and gradients in the separate analyses were determined using t-tests (coefficient/jackknifed SE). To control for repeated measurements of individual females, the degrees of freedom in these jackknife analyses reflected the number of individuals in the analysis minus one.

Quantitative genetics of lactation DEE

Prior to running our quantitative genetics analysis of lactation DEE, we selected fixed effects to include in the linear-mixed effects animal model (Wilson 2008). To determine the fixed effects that influenced lactation DEE, we examined potential environmental variables (food availability, squirrel density, and ambient temperature) in addition to the typical correlates of reproductive performance and investment described above. The seeds of white spruce trees are an important food source of squirrels (Fletcher et al. 2013a). In late-summer of each year, we quantified the amount of white spruce cones available to red squirrels on each study area using a ln-scaled cone index (LaMontagne & Boutin 2007; LaMontagne & Boutin 2009). We included both the previous year's and current year's cone index (average index of both study areas; the indices on the two study areas were highly correlated: $r = 0.97$) in our set of potential fixed effects because they both can influence life-history parameters (Boutin et al. 2006). The other two environmental variables that we examined as potential fixed effects were the average ambient temperature over the course of the DLW interval (T_a – hourly T_a readings; Fletcher et al. 2012) and the average spring breeding population density on the two study areas (see Dantzer et al. 2013). Our candidate model set included all possible combinations of models involving at least one of the potential fixed effects (previous year's cone index, current year's cone index, T_a , density,

maternal mass, maternal age, parturition date, litter size, and nestling growth rate; 511 models), with no interactions, and two random effects (year and squirrel ID). The fixed effects that we included in our animal model were those in the model with the lowest AIC_c value (Burnham & Anderson 2002).

Variance components and the heritability of lactation DEE were estimated using restricted maximum likelihood animal models (Lynch & Walsh 1998) in ASReml 3.0 (Gilmour et al. 2009). See Réale et al. (2003), McFarlane et al. (2011), and Taylor et al. (2012) for previous quantitative genetics analyses on this population. We included the current year's cone index, squirrel density, maternal mass, parturition date (days since 1-Jan), and nestling growth rate as fixed effects, which were identified by the AIC_c model selection analysis (see paragraph above; Supporting Information Table S1 presents the top 25 models). Year was included as a random factor in all models because multiple lactation DEE values were obtained in each year. The null model included only the random effect of year. We first fitted an individual variance term (VI) that incorporated all sources of individual variance: permanent environment variance (VPE), additive genetic variance (V_A), and maternal effect variance (V_M ; Table 4 - row 2). In a subsequent model, we partitioned the VI term into the constituent VPE and V_A components (Table 4 – row 3). Permanent environment effects arise due to repeatable non-genetic differences between individuals (Kruuk & Hadfield 2007), which may result due to maternal effects. Thus, in the model presented in row 3 of Table 4, the V_{PE} term incorporated V_M (Kruuk & Hadfield 2007). Permanent environment effects can be estimated from repeated measures of a trait on the same individual and were fitted to prevent this source of variation from artificially inflating our

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

estimate of additive genetic variance (Lynch & Walsh 1998; Kruuk & Hadfield 2007). Finally, we ran a model that explicitly included terms reflecting the VM and VPE. The repeatability (r), narrow-sense heritability (h^2), maternal effects (m^2), and permanent environment effects (pe) were calculated as $r = VI/VP$, $h^2 = VA/VP$, $m = VM/VP$, and $pe = VPE/VP$, respectively, where VP is the total phenotypic variance (Table 4 – row 4). All models also included a VR term, reflecting the residual variance.

The pruned pedigree used in the animal model analysis included 233 individuals. This pedigree included all known dams, sires, and ancestors of individuals with lactation DEE measures. Dams were assigned for individuals that were ear-tagged prior to emergence from their natal nest. Sires within this pedigree were assigned using previously established protocols (Gunn et al. 2005; Lane et al. 2008; 95% confidence using Cervus 3.0, Kalinowski, Taper & Marshall 2007). The descriptive statistics of the pruned pedigree are provided in Table S2 (R library: Pedantics; Morrissey 2012).

Results

Correlates of lactation DEE

None of the potential correlates of reproductive performance or measures of reproductive investment were strong predictors of lactation DEE (Table 2). There was a weak trend for positive relationships between lactation DEE and both maternal mass ($P = 0.11$; Table 2; Fig. 1) and parturition date (greater lactation DEE with later parturition dates, $P = 0.11$; Table 2; Fig. 1).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

None of the other potential correlates of reproductive performance (maternal age) or measures of reproductive investment (litter sizes and average nestling growth rate) were correlated with lactation DEE ($P \geq 0.46$; Table 2; Fig. 1). Because Figure 1 presents partial residual lactation DEE, we have included scatter-plots relating raw lactation DEE with all potential correlates of reproductive performance and measures of reproductive investment in Figure S3.

Natural selection on lactation DEE

We detected positive linear selection on lactation DEE for increased ARS ($\beta' = 0.49$, $t_{108} = 3.1$, $P = 0.002$; Table 3; Fig. 2). Linear selection gradients for potential correlates of reproductive performance (maternal mass and age) and measures of reproductive investment (parturition date, litter size, and average nestling growth rate) were all nonsignificant (Table 3). Lactation DEE was also positively correlated with ARS in a model that excluded the potential correlates of reproductive performance and measures of reproductive investment (standardized selection differential: $s' = 0.49 \pm 0.17$ [SE], $t_{108} = 2.8$, $P = 0.005$). Moreover, if lactation DEE is excluded from the selection analysis, the linear selection gradients of the remaining variables remained nonsignificant (results not shown). Overall, these results suggest that the positive selection on lactation DEE for increased reproductive success was not mediated by correlations between lactation DEE and measures of reproductive performance and investment.

All quadratic and correlation selection gradients for ARS were non-significant (Table S4).

In this model, the linear selection gradient on lactation DEE remained significant ($\beta' = 0.42 \pm 0.16$, $t_{108} = 2.6$, $P = 0.01$).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

The linear selection gradient of lactation DEE for maternal survival was low and nonsignificant ($\beta' = 0.04$; Table 3). We detected marginally significant negative viability selection on maternal age (Table 3), but the linear viability selection gradients of maternal body mass, parturition date, litter size, and average nestling growth rate were all nonsignificant (Table 3). All quadratic viability selection gradients were non-significant (Table S4). None of the correlational selection gradients involving lactation DEE were significant (Table S4). However, there was a significant positive correlational selection gradient between maternal mass and average nestling growth rate ($\gamma_{ij} = 0.39 \pm 0.17$, $t_{108} = 2.3$, $P = 0.02$).

Other possible fitness costs of elevated DEE

Because elevated lactation DEE was not associated with reduced survival, we tested whether elevated DEE was associated with other potential fitness-costs that could be realized during the following year. Specifically, we examined whether elevated DEE was associated with: (1) reduced annual reproductive success, (2) reduced lactation DEE, (3) later parturition dates, (4) smaller litter sizes, or (5) slower average nestling growth rates in the following year. To test for these potential fitness costs, we performed a linear regression between standardized levels of DEE and standardized levels of all the potential fitness-costs in the following year. In all five analyses, elevated DEE was not associated with a potential fitness-cost the following year (Fig. 3).

Quantitative genetics of lactation DEE

Quantitative genetic analyses using our multi-generational pedigree revealed that the repeatability and heritability of lactation DEE was low and not significantly different from zero (Table 4). Based on log likelihood values, the best-supported model included only the random effect of year (i.e. the null model, Table 4, Table S5). In a model that included fixed effects, the V_A of lactation DEE was fixed to be a very small value by ASReml to prevent this variance component from becoming negative in the iterative process of the animal model (Table 4). As a result, the heritability of lactation in the model that included fixed effects was essentially zero. In a model that excluded fixed effects, the V_A was estimated (551.4 ± 1983.9), but the standard error of this estimate was very large (Table S5). Moreover, the V_A of lactation DEE yielded by this model was small in comparison to the large V_P of lactation DEE ($V_P = 22827.7$; Table S5). Overall, these two analyses suggests that heritability of lactation DEE is low and not significantly different from zero.

Discussion

Females that expended more energy during mid-lactation recruited more offspring into the population the following year (i.e. had higher ARS; Fig. 2). The positive linear selection gradient of lactation DEE for ARS ($\beta' = 0.49$) ranked in the top 12% of selection gradients compiled in a comprehensive meta-analysis (including longitudinal and cohort studies of reproductive success; Kingsolver et al. 2001a, b).

Although positive selection on DEE for increased ARS was relatively strong, there was a considerable amount of residual variation associated with the correlation between lactation DEE and ARS (Fig. 2). A high amount of residual variation is typical of selection analyses on wild populations, because the survival of juveniles (and thus the ARS of the mother) is influenced by many factors (e.g., nutrition, territory quality, behaviour, etc.) and circumstances (e.g., predation, starvation, and other hazards). It is possible that the selection on DEE was in fact on an unmeasured character, but the inclusion of an extensive suite of other potential fitness correlates makes this interpretation unlikely (*sensu* Brodie 1992).

Elevated lactation DEE neither reduced survival until the next year's breeding season (Table 3), nor negatively affected ARS, lactation DEE, and reproductive investment in the following year (Fig. 3). Our results are consistent with recent avian studies that have found no relationship between DEE during the breeding season and annual survival (Welcker et al. 2009; Welcker et al. 2010). Given that our measure of maternal survival was whether or not females survived to the following year, it remains possible that the maternal survival costs of elevated lactation DEE are realized at later ages. However, a lack of repeatability of lactation DEE complicates any analysis examining the relationship between lactation DEE and life-long survival.

Considerable attention has been given to hypothesis that survival costs of reproduction are mediated by elevated levels of oxidative stress, which are elevated due to high levels of energy expenditure during reproduction (for reviews see: Costantini 2008; Dowling & Simmons

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

2009; Monaghan, Metcalfe & Torres 2009; Metcalfe & Alonso-Alvarez 2010; Selman et al. 2012; Metcalfe & Monaghan 2013). In squirrels at this site, levels of oxidative damage to plasma proteins are elevated during lactation, especially in lactating females with high DEE (Fletcher et al. 2013b). These results suggest that lactating squirrels face short-term oxidative costs during reproduction; however, the results of the current study suggest that the extent, and/or permanency of these costs do not appear to be great enough to compromise survival.

Previous work at this site suggests that females raising natural litter sizes may limit their lactation DEE at a rate below that which would negatively impact their survival and lifetime reproductive success (Humphries and Boutin 2000; McAdam et al. 2007). Humphries and Boutin (2000) experimentally augmented the litters of female squirrels and found that females had increased rates of lactation DEE compared to females raising natural litter sizes. These results suggest that females raising natural litter sizes are able to have higher rates of lactation DEE, and thus, they are operating below their maximal capacity. Moreover, based on limited data, females raising augmented litters seemed to prioritize their survival over the survival of their offspring (Humphries and Boutin 2000). However, one key assumption of this hypothesis that needs to be tested is that lactating females raising natural litter sizes are able to increase their total energy intake as well as their DEE. One limitation of the DLW technique when it is performed on lactating mammals is that it does not quantify total energy intake. This technique quantifies the energy demands associated with additional foraging and milk synthesis, but it does not quantify the energy exported in milk (Król & Speakman 2003). Thus, females raising natural litter sizes may have capacity to increase their lactation DEE, but their total energy intake may be limited at

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

a fixed ceiling.

Positive selection on lactation DEE for increased ARS was not mediated by a correlation between lactation DEE and maternal mass. Lactation DEE was neither strongly correlated with mass (Fig. 1; Table 2; Fig. S3), nor was the the correlation between lactation DEE and ARS driven by a correlation between lactation DEE and maternal mass. This is counter to studies on laboratory rodents, which have generally found positive correlations between lactation energy expenditure and reproductive investment that are explained by positive correlations between lactation energy expenditure and maternal mass (Derting & McClure 1989; Earle & Lavigne 1990; Hayes, Garland & Dohm 1992; Johnson, Thomson & Speakman 2001a).

The weak relationship between lactation DEE and maternal body mass was unexpected (see also Fletcher et al. 2012a). This result was surprising because body mass is strongly correlated with RMR (McNab 2002; Speakman, Król & Johnson 2004). Moreover, the DLW technique generates whole-animal estimates of CO₂ production and therefore, all else being equal, larger animals should have higher DEE. The largest lactating female in our study was 49% larger than the smallest (327g vs 219g; Fig. 1), suggesting that it is unlikely that the lack of a relationship between maternal body mass and lactation DEE resulted because of low variation in the mass of adult red squirrels. One possible explanation for the lack of a relationship is that smaller squirrels were more active, either physiologically or behaviorally, than larger squirrels during lactation. Along similar lines, it is also possible that inter-individual variation in activity during lactation swamps any influence of inter-individual variation in body mass as a predictor of

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

lactation DEE. This claim is consistent with previous work on red squirrels suggesting that maternal mass and DEE are only correlated during stages when individuals are relatively inactive (winter: Humphries et al. 2005 Fletcher et al. 2012a).

Positive selection on lactation DEE for increased ARS was also not mediated by correlations between lactation DEE and measures of reproductive investment (parturition date, litter size, and average nestling growth rate). As stated above, lactation DEE does not quantify the energy exported in milk (Król & Speakman 2003). Consequently, our results suggest that there is no correlation between lactation DEE and milk energy export because if this correlation existed we would have observed positive correlations between lactation DEE and both litter size and nestling growth rates (Johnson, Thomson, & Speakman 2001b). It is surprising that positive selection on lactation DEE for increased ARS was not mediated through measures of reproductive investment. Lactating females with greater DEE neither had earlier parturition dates (there was a trend for greater lactation DEE to be associated with later parturition dates; Table 2), larger litter sizes, nor faster growing nestlings. Future research is required to determine the mechanistic link underlying positive selection on lactation DEE for increased ARS. Possible areas of study include those associated with early-life maternal care and/or resource allocation (e.g. Boulinier & Staszewski 2008; Sheriff & Love 2013).

Previous research at this site including thirteen years of data (1989-2001) suggested that juveniles were more likely to recruit into the population if they were born earlier in the breeding season (all years $\beta' = -0.20 \pm 0.07$ [\pm SE by jackknifing]) and had faster growth rates in the nest

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

(all years $\beta' = 0.34 \pm 0.10$; McAdam & Boutin 2003). In our study, the linear selection gradients of parturition date ($\beta' = -0.21 \pm 0.15$) and average nestling growth rates ($\beta' = 0.06 \pm 0.16$) for ARS were in the same direction; however, neither of these selection gradients were significant ($P > 0.16$). The lack of selection on nestling growth rates in our current study may be explained by the recent finding that selection on nestling growth rates is stronger in years of high squirrel density (Dantzer et al. 2013; study years: 1989-2011). Thus, McAdam and Boutin (2003) likely detected positive selection on nestling growth rates, whereas we did not because squirrel density was higher in the study years encompassed by McAdam and Boutin (2003; study years: 1989-2001) as compared to in our study (study years: 2002-2008; see Fig. 1A in Dantzer et al. 2013).

The lack of heritability in lactation DEE is in contrast with high heritability estimates for RMR in free-ranging birds and mammals (RMR $h^2 > 0.40$ in models including fixed effects - Nilsson et al. 2009; Bushuev et al. 2012; Zub et al. 2012). We recognize that animal models generally require large sample sizes (Wilson et al. 2010). Although the number of individuals with DEE data in our pedigree was comparable to other studies that have examined the heritability of RMR in free-ranging animals ($n = 413$ individuals, *Ficedula hypoleuca*, Bushuev et al. 2012; $n = 128$ individuals, *Mustela nivalis*, Zub et al. 2012; $n = 122$, *Cyanistes caeruleus*, Nilsson et al. 2009), it was much smaller than what has been used in studies examining the heritability of morphological and phenological traits in the wild (Kruuk et al. 2002; Réale et al. 2003; Sheldon, Kruuk & Merilä 2003). It is recognized that the inclusion of fixed effects into animal model analyses influences heritability estimates (Wilson 2008). However, lactation DEE was not significantly heritable in animal model analyses that either included or excluded an

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

extensive suite of fixed effects related to the environment and reproductive parameters (Table 4).

Our animal model analysis indicated that lactation DEE was also not significantly repeatable (repeatability sets an upper limit to heritability - Falconer and Mackay 1996, but see Dohm 2002). The number of individuals with repeated measures in our study ($n = 28$) ranked at the 41st percentile in an extensive meta-analysis examining the repeatability of energetic traits (using all sample sizes presented in White et al. 2013 – Table S1; see also Nespolo & Franco 2007).

Lactation DEE in squirrels likely has low levels of repeatability and heritability because in contrast to RMR, DEE is an integrative trait that also reflects the behavior of animals and their responses to environmental conditions (McNab 2002). Moreover, it is also possible that the strong directional selection on lactation DEE has eroded the additive genetic variance underlying this trait by driving the high-fitness alleles to fixation (Fisher 1958). Regardless of the possible reason, the lack of heritability of lactation DEE suggests that this trait is not genetically correlated with other physiological, behavioral, or life-history traits (Ricklefs & Wikelski 2002; Careau et al. 2008; Biro & Stamps 2010; Réale et al. 2010) and that there is limited evolutionary potential for lactation DEE to respond to our documented selection.

Research within this population of squirrels (McAdam & Boutin 2003; Réale et al. 2003; Descamps et al. 2008), and in many other study systems (e.g. Clutton-Brock [ed.] 1988), has focused on a suite of typical measures of reproductive performance (maternal mass and age) and measures of reproductive investment (parturition/laying date, litter/clutch size, and juvenile mass/growth rates) as predictors of reproductive success. Here, we found positive linear selection

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

on lactation DEE for ARS that was stronger than selection on these other traits in this population of red squirrels (McAdam & Boutin 2003; Réale et al. 2003), and in many other studies that have examined these traits (i.e. our DEE for ARS ranked in the top 12% of selection gradients for reproductive success; Kingsolver et al. 2001a, b). Overall, our results suggest that DEE is an important and under-quantified determinant of reproductive success in wild populations.

Acknowledgements

We thank all squirrelers, especially Ainsley Sykes and Elizabeth Anderson, for their assistance with field data collection and preparation. We are grateful to Paula Redman and Peter Thomson for technical assistance in isotope analyses for the DLW work. We thank the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations for allowing us to do research on their lands. We thank Agnes Moose and her family for access to her traditional trapping area. Research support was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC), National Science Foundation, and Northern Scientific Training Program Grants. An NSERC Postgraduate Graduate Scholarship provided personal support to Q. E. F. This is paper number ## of the Kluane Red Squirrel Project.

Data Accessibility

Data deposited in the Dryad repository: <http://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.635md>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

References

- Álvarez, D. & Nieceza, A.G. (2005) Is metabolic rate a reliable predictor of growth and survival of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) in the wild? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **62**, 643-649.
- Angilletta, M.J.J. (2009) *Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and empirical synthesis*. Oxford University Press, New York, USA.
- Arnold, S.J. & Wade, M.J. (1984) On the measurement of natural and sexual selection: theory. *Evolution*, **38**, 709-719.
- Artacho, P. & Nespolo, R.F. (2009) Natural selection reduces energy metabolism in the garden snail, *Helix aspersa* (*Cornu aspersum*). *Evolution*, **63**, 1044-1050.
- Bacigalupe, L.D., Nespolo, R.F., Bustamante, D.M. & Bozinovic, F. (2004) The quantitative genetics of sustained energy budget in a wild mouse. *Evolution*, **58**, 421-429.
- Bates, D. & Maechler, M. (2009) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes. *R package version 0.999375-31*.
- Bech, C., Langseth, I. & Gabrielsen, G.W. (1999) Repeatability of basal metabolism in breeding female kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, **266**, 2161-2167.
- Becker, C.D. (1993) Environmental cues of estrus in the North American red squirrel (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus* Bangs). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **71**, 1326-1333.
- Becker, C.D., Boutin, S. & Larsen, K.W. (1998) Constraints on first reproduction in North American red squirrels. *Oikos*, **81**, 81-92.

Bergeron, P., Careau, V., Humphries, M.M., Réale, D., Speakman, J.R. & Garant, D. (2011) The energetic and oxidative costs of reproduction in a free-ranging rodent. *Functional Ecology*, **25**, 1063-1071.

Berteaux, D. & Boutin, S. (2000) Breeding dispersal in female North American red squirrels. *Ecology*, **81**, 1311-1326.

Berteaux, D., Thomas, D.W., Bergeron, J.M. & Lapierre, H. (1996) Repeatability of daily field metabolic rate in female meadow voles (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*). *Functional Ecology*, **10**, 751-759.

Biro, P.A. & Stamps, J.A. (2010) Do consistent individual differences in metabolic rate promote consistent individual differences in behavior? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **25**, 653-659.

Blackmer, A.L., Mauck, R.A., Ackerman, J.T., Huntington, C.E., Nevitt, G.A. & Williams, J.B. (2005) Exploring individual quality: basal metabolic rate and reproductive performance in storm-petrels. *Behavioral Ecology*, **16**, 906-913.

Bonduriansky, R., Crean, A.J. & Day, T. (2012) The implications of nongenetic inheritance for evolution in changing environments. *Evolutionary Applications*, **5**, 192-201.

Boon, A.K., Réale, D. & Boutin, S. (2007) The interaction between personality, offspring fitness and food abundance in North American red squirrels. *Ecology Letters*, **10**, 1094-1104.

Boratyński, Z., Koskela, E., Mappes, T. & Oksanen, T.A. (2010) Sex-specific selection on energy metabolism - selection coefficients for winter survival. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **23**, 1969-1978.

Boratyński, Z. & Koteja, P. (2009) The association between body mass, metabolic rates and survival of bank voles. *Functional Ecology*, **23**, 330-339.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

- Boratyński, Z. & Koteja, P. (2010) Sexual and natural selection on body mass and metabolic rates in free-living bank voles. *Functional Ecology*, **24**, 1252-1261.
- Boulinier, T. & Staszewski, V. (2008) Maternal transfer of antibodies: raising immuno-ecology issues. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **23**, 282-288.
- Boutin, S. & Larsen, K.W. (1993) Does food availability affect growth and survival of males and females differently in a promiscuous small mammal, *Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*? *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **62**, 364-370.
- Boutin, S., Wauters, L.A., McAdam, A.G., Humphries, M.M., Tosi, G. & Dhondt, A.A. (2006) Anticipatory reproduction and population growth in seed predators. *Science*, **314**, 1928-1930.
- Bouwhuis, S., Sheldon, B.C. & Verhulst, S. (2011) Basal metabolic rate and the rate of senescence in the great tit. *Functional Ecology*, **25**, 829-838.
- Bozinovic, F. (2007) Long-term repeatability of body mass and body temperature (but not basal metabolism) in the free-ranging leaf-eared mouse. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, **9**, 547–554.
- Brodie, E.D. (1992) Correlational selection for color pattern and antipredator behavior in the garter snake *Thamnophis ordinoides*. *Evolution*, **46**, 1284-1298.
- Broggi, J., Hohtola, E., Koivula, K., Orell, M. & Nilsson, J.A. (2009) Long-term repeatability of winter basal metabolic rate and mass in a wild passerine. *Functional Ecology*, **23**, 768-773.
- Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M. & West, G.B. (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, **85**, 1771-1789.
- Bryant, D. (1997) Energy expenditure in wild birds. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, **56**, 1025-1039.

Burnham, K.P. & Anderson, D.R. (2002) *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference*. Springer, New York.

Bushuev, A.V., Husby, A., Sternberg, H. & Grinkov, V.G. (2012) Quantitative genetics of basal metabolic rate and body mass in free-living pied flycatchers. *Journal of Zoology*, **288**, 245–251.

Butler, P.J., Green, J.A., Boyd, I.L. & Speakman, J.R. (2004) Measuring metabolic rate in the field: the pros and cons of the doubly labelled water and heart rate methods. *Functional Ecology*, **18**, 168-183.

Carbone, C. & Gittleman, J.L. (2002) A common rule for the scaling of carnivore density. *Science*, **295**, 2273-2276.

Careau, V., Bergeron, P., Garant, D., Réale, D., Speakman, J.R. & Humphries, M.M. (2013) The energetic and survival costs of growth in free-ranging chipmunks. *Oecologia*, **171**, 11-23.

Careau, V., Thomas, D., Humphries, M.M. & Réale, D. (2008) Energy metabolism and animal personality. *Oikos*, **117**, 641-653.

Careau, V., Thomas, D.W. & Humphries, M.M. (2010) Energetic cost of bot fly parasitism in free-ranging eastern chipmunks. *Oecologia*, **162**, 303-312.

Careau, V., Thomas, D., Pelletier, F., Turki, L., Landry, F., Garant, D. & Réale, D. (2011) Genetic correlation between resting metabolic rate and exploratory behaviour in deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **24**, 2153-2163.

Chappell, M.A., Bachman, G.C. & Odell, J.P. (1995) Repeatability of maximal aerobic performance in Belding's ground squirrels, *Spermophilus beldingi*. *Functional Ecology*, **9**, 498-504.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

- Chappell, M.A., Zuk, M. & Johnsen, T.S. (1996) Repeatability of aerobic performance in red junglefowl: effects of ontogeny and nematode infection. *Functional Ecology*, **10**, 578-585.
- Costantini, D. (2008) Oxidative stress in ecology and evolution: lessons from avian studies. *Ecology Letters*, **11**, 1238-1251.
- Daan, S., Deerenberg, C. & Dijkstra, C. (1996) Increased daily work precipitates natural death in the kestrel. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **65**, 539-544.
- Damuth, J. (1981) Population density and body size in mammals. *Nature*, **290**, 699-700.
- Dantzer, B., Newman, A.E.M., Boonstra, R., Palme, R., Boutin, S., Humphries, M.M. & McAdam, A.G. (2013) Density triggers maternal hormones that increase adaptive offspring growth in a wild mammal. *Science*, **340**, 1215-1217.
- Delahay, R.J., Speakman, J.R. & Moss, R. (1995) The energetic consequences of parasitism: effects of a developing infection of *Trichostrongylus tenuis* (Nematoda) on red grouse (*Lagopus lagopus scoticus*) energy balance, body weight and condition. *Parasitology*, **110**, 473-482.
- Derting, T.L. & McClure, P.A. (1989) Intraspecific variation in metabolic-rate and its relationship with productivity in the cotton rat, *Sigmodon hispidus*. *Journal of Mammalogy*, **70**, 520-531.
- Descamps, S., Boutin, S., Berteaux, D. & Gaillard, J.-M. (2008) Age-specific variation in survival, reproductive success and offspring quality in red squirrels: evidence of senescence. *Oikos*, **117**, 1406-1416.
- Descamps, S., Boutin, S., McAdam, A.G., Berteaux, D. & Gaillard, J.-M. (2009) Survival costs of reproduction vary with age in North American red squirrels. *Proceedings of the Royal*

Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences, **276**, 1129-1135.

Dijkstra, C., Bult, A., Bijlsma, S., Daan, S., Meijer, T. & Zijlstra, M. (1990) Brood size manipulations in the kestrel (*Falco tinnunculus*): effects on offspring and parent survival. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **59**, 269-285.

Dohm, M.R. (2002) Repeatability estimates do not always set an upper limit to heritability. *Functional Ecology*, **16**, 273-280.

Dohm, M.R., Hayes, J.P. & Garland, T. (1996) Quantitative genetics of sprint running speed and swimming endurance in laboratory house mice (*Mus domesticus*). *Evolution*, **50**, 1688-1701.

Dohm, M.R., Hayes, J.P. & Garland, T. (2001) The quantitative genetics of maximal and basal rates of oxygen consumption in mice. *Genetics*, **159**, 267-277.

Dowling, D.K. & Simmons, L.W. (2009) Reactive oxygen species as universal constraints in life-history evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, **276**, 1737-1745.

Drent, R.H. & Daan, S. (1980) The prudent parent - energetic adjustments in avian breeding. *Ardea*, **68**, 225-252.

Duarte, L.C., Vaanholt, L.M., Sinclair, R.E., Gamo, Y. & Speakman, J.R. (2010) Limits to sustained energy intake XII: Is the poor relation between resting metabolic rate and reproductive performance because resting metabolism is not a repeatable trait? *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **213**, 278-287.

Earle, M. & Lavigne, D.M. (1990) Intraspecific variation in body size, metabolic-rate, and reproduction of deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **68**, 381-388.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Falconer, D.S. & Mackay, T.F.C. (1996) *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. Longman, New York.

Fisher, R.A. (1958) *The genetical theory of natural selection*. 2nd ed.. Dover Press, New York.

Fletcher, Q.E., Landry-Cuerrier, M., Boutin, S., McAdam, A.G., Speakman, J.R. & Humphries, M.M. (2013a) Reproductive timing and reliance on hoarded capital resources by lactating red squirrels. *Oecologia*, **173**, 1203-1215.

Fletcher, Q.E., Selman, C., Boutin, S., McAdam, A.G., Woods, S.B., Seo, A.Y., Leeuwenburgh, C., Speakman, J.R. & Humphries, M.M. (2013b) Oxidative damage increases with reproductive energy expenditure and is reduced by food-supplementation. *Evolution*, **67**, 1527-1536.

Fletcher, Q.E., Speakman, J.R., Boutin, S., McAdam, A.G., Woods, S.B. & Humphries, M.M. (2012) Seasonal stage differences overwhelm environmental and individual factors as determinants of energy expenditure in free-ranging red squirrels. *Functional Ecology*, **26**, 677–687.

Fletcher, Q.E., Speakman, J.R., Boutin, S., Lane, J.E., McAdam, A.G., Gorrell, J.C., Coltman, D.W., Humphries, M.M. (2014) Data from: Daily energy expenditure during lactation is strongly selected in a free-living mammal. Dryad Digital Repository.

<http://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.635md>

Garant, D., Kruuk, L.E.B., McCleery, R.H. & Sheldon, B.C. (2007) The effects of environmental heterogeneity on multivariate selection on reproductive traits in female great tits. *Evolution*, **61**, 1546-1559.

Garland Jr, T. & Bennett, A.F. (1990) Quantitative genetics of maximal oxygen consumption in

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

a garter snake. *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **259**, R986–R992.

Gilmour, A.R., Gogel, B.J., Cullis, B.R. & Thompson, R. (2009) *ASReml User Guide, Release 3.0*. VSN International Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, UK.

Gittleman, J.L. & Thompson, S.D. (1988) Energy allocation in mammalian reproduction. *American Zoologist*, **28**, 863-875.

Gunn, M.R., Dawson, D.A., Leviston, A., Hartnup, K., Davis, C.S., Strobeck, C., Slate, J. & Coltman, D.W. (2005) Isolation of 18 polymorphic microsatellite loci from the North American red squirrel, *Tamiasciurus hudsonicus* (Sciuridae, Rodentia), and their cross-utility in other species. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **5**, 650-653.

Hayes, J.P., Garland, T. & Dohm, M.R. (1992) Individual variation in metabolism and reproduction of *Mus* - are energetics and life-history linked. *Functional Ecology*, **6**, 5-14.

Hayes, J.P. & O'Connor, C.S. (1999) Natural selection on thermogenic capacity of high-altitude deer mice. *Evolution*, **53**, 1280-1287.

Humphries, M.M. & Boutin, S. (2000) The determinants of optimal litter size in free-ranging red squirrels. *Ecology*, **81**, 2867-2877.

Humphries, M.M., Boutin, S., Thomas, D.W., Ryan, J.D., Selman, C., McAdam, A.G., Berteaux, D. & Speakman, J.R. (2005) Expenditure freeze: the metabolic response of small mammals to cold environments. *Ecology Letters*, **8**, 1326-1333.

Humphries, M.M. & McCann, K.S. (2013) Metabolic ecology. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, .

Jackson, D.M., Trayhurn, P. & Speakman, J.R. (2001) Associations between energetics and over-winter survival in the short-tailed field vole *Microtus agrestis*. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **70**,

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

633-640.

Johnson, M.S., Thomson, S.C. & Speakman, J.R. (2001a) Limits to sustained energy intake II.

Inter-relationships between resting metabolic rate, life-history traits and morphology in *Mus musculus*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **204**, 1937-1946.

Johnson, M.S., Thomson, S.C. & Speakman, J.R. (2001b) Limits to sustained energy intake. I.

Lactation in the laboratory mouse *Mus musculus*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **204**, 1925-1935.

Johnston, S.L., Souter, D.M., Erwin, S.S., Tolkamp, B.J., Yearsley, J.M., Gordon, I.J., Illius,

A.W., Kyriazakis, I. & Speakman, J.R. (2007) Associations between basal metabolic rate and reproductive performance in C57BL/6J mice. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **210**, 65-74.

Kalinowski, S., Taper, M. & Marshall, T. (2007) Revising how the computer program CERVUS

accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**, 1099-1106.

Kearney, M. & Porter, W. (2009) Mechanistic niche modelling: combining physiological and

spatial data to predict species' ranges. *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 334-350.

Kenagy, G.J., Masman, D., Sharbaugh, S.M. & Nagy, K.A. (1990) Energy expenditure during

lactation in relation to litter size in free-living golden-mantled ground squirrels. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **59**, 73-88.

Ketola, T. & Kotiaho, J.S. (2009) Inbreeding, energy use and condition. *Journal of Evolutionary*

Biology, **22**, 770-781.

Khazaeli, A.A., Van Voorhies, W. & Curtsinger, J.W. (2005) Longevity and metabolism in

Drosophila melanogaster: Genetic correlations between life span and age-specific metabolic

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

rate in populations artificially selected for long life. *Genetics*, **169**, 231-242.

Kingsolver, J.G., Hoekstra, H.E., Hoekstra, J.M., Berrigan, D., Vignieri, S.N., Hill, C.E., Hoang, A., Gibert, P. & Beerli, P. (2001b) The strength of phenotypic selection in natural populations. *Dryad Digital Repository*. <http://hdl.handle.net/10255/dryad.166>.

Kingsolver, J.G., Hoekstra, H.E., Hoekstra, J.M., Berrigan, D., Vignieri, S.N., Hill, C.E., Hoang, A., Gibert, P. & Beerli, P. (2001a) The strength of phenotypic selection in natural populations. *American Naturalist*, **157**, 245-261.

Konarzewski, M., Książek, A. & Łapo, I.B. (2005) Artificial selection on metabolic rates and related traits in rodents. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **45**, 416-425.

Konarzewski, M. & Książek, A. (2013) Determinants of intra-specific variation in basal metabolic rate. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B-Biochemical, Systems, and Environmental Physiology*, **183**, 27-41.

Krebs, C.J. & Boonstra, R. (2001) The Kluane region. *Ecosystem dynamics of the boreal forest* (eds. C.J. Krebs, S. Boutin and R. Boonstra), pp. 9-24. Oxford University Press, New York.

Kruuk, L.E.B., Slate, J., Pemberton, J.M., Brotherstone, S., Guinness, F. & Clutton-Brock, T. (2002) Antler size in red deer: heritability and selection but no evolution. *Evolution*, **56**, 1683-1695.

Kruuk, L.E.B. & Hadfield, J.D. (2007) How to separate genetic and environmental causes of similarity between relatives. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **20**, 1890-1903.

Król, E. & Speakman, J.R. (2003) Limits to sustained energy intake VII. Milk energy output in laboratory mice at thermoneutrality. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **206**, 4267-4281.

Lacy, R.C. & Lynch, C.B. (1979) Quantitative genetic analysis of temperature regulation in *Mus*

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

musculus. I. Partitioning of variance. *Genetics*, **91**, 743-753.

Lynch, C.B. & Sulzbach, D.S. (1984) Quantitative genetic analysis of temperature regulation in

Mus musculus. II. Diallel analysis of individual traits. *Evolution*, **38**, 527-540.

LaMontagne, J.M. & Boutin, S. (2007) Local-scale synchrony and variability in mast seed production patterns of *Picea glauca*. *Journal of Ecology*, **95**, 991-1000.

LaMontagne, J.M. & Boutin, S. (2009) Quantitative methods for defining mast-seeding years across species and studies. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **20**, 745-753.

Lande, R. & Arnold, S.J. (1983) The measurement of selection on correlated characters. *Evolution*, **37**, 1210-1226.

Lane, J.E., Boutin, S., Gunn, M.R., Slate, J. & Coltman, D.W. (2008) Female multiple mating and paternity in free-ranging North American red squirrels. *Animal Behaviour*, **75**, 1927-1937.

Lane, J.E., Boutin, S., Speakman, J.R. & Humphries, M.M. (2010) Energetic costs of male reproduction in a scramble competition mating system. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **79**, 27-34.

Larivée, M.L., Boutin, S., Speakman, J.R., McAdam, A.G. & Humphries, M.M. (2010) Associations between over-winter survival and resting metabolic rate in juvenile North American red squirrels. *Functional Ecology*, **24**, 597-607.

Lerman, I., Harrison, B.C., Freeman, K., Hewett, T.E., Allen, D.L., Robbins, J. & Leinwand, L.A. (2002) Genetic variability in forced and voluntary endurance exercise performance in seven inbred mouse strains. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, **92**, 2245-2255.

Lifson, N. & McClintock, R. (1966) Theory of use of the turnover rates of body water for measuring energy and material balance. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **12**, 46-74.

Lynch, M. & Walsh, B. (1998) *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits*. Sinauer Associates,

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Sunderland, MA.

- Martin, L.B., Scheuerlein, A. & Wikelski, M. (2003) Immune activity elevates energy expenditure of house sparrows: a link between direct and indirect costs? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, **270**, 153-158.
- Mathot, K.J., Martin, K., Kempnaers, B. & Forstmeier, W. (2013) Basal metabolic rate can evolve independently of morphological and behavioural traits. *Heredity*, **111**, 175-181.
- McAdam, A.G. & Boutin, S. (2003) Variation in viability selection among cohorts of juvenile red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*). *Evolution*, **57**, 1689-1697.
- McAdam, A.G., Boutin, S., Sykes, A.K. & Humphries, M.M. (2007) Life histories of female red squirrels and their contributions to population growth and lifetime fitness. *Écoscience*, **14**, 362-369.
- McFarlane, S.E., Lane, J.E., Taylor, R.W., Gorrell, J.C., Coltman, D.W., Humphries, M.M., Boutin, S. & McAdam, A.G. (2011) The heritability of multiple male mating in a promiscuous mammal. *Biology Letters*, **7**, 368-371.
- McNab, B.K. (2002) *The physiological ecology of vertebrates: a view from energetics*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.
- Metcalf, N.B. & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2010) Oxidative stress as a life-history constraint: the role of reactive oxygen species in shaping phenotypes from conception to death. *Functional Ecology*, **24**, 984-996.
- Metcalf, N.B. & Monaghan, P. (2001) Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **16**, 254-260.
- Metcalf, N.B. & Monaghan, P. (2013) Does reproduction cause oxidative stress? An open

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

question. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **28**, 347-350.

Monaghan, P., Metcalfe, N.B. & Torres, R. (2009) Oxidative stress as a mediator of life history trade-offs: mechanisms, measurements and interpretation. *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 75-92.

Moreno, J., Potti, J. & Merino, S. (1997) Parental energy expenditure and offspring size in the Pied Flycatcher *Ficedula hypoleuca*. *Oikos*, **79**, 559-567.

Morrissey, M. (2012) pedantics: Functions to facilitate power and sensitivity analyses for genetic studies of natural populations. *R package version 1.04.*, .

Nagy, K.A. (1983) *The doubly labeled water (3HH18O) method: a guide to its use*. University of California, Los Angeles Publication No. 12-1417, University of California, Los Angeles.

Nagy, K.A., Girard, I.A. & Brown, T.K. (1999) Energetics of free-ranging mammals, reptiles, and birds. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, **19**, 247-277.

Nespolo, R.F., Bacigalupe, L.D. & Bozinovic, F. (2003) Heritability of energetics in a wild mammal, the leaf-eared mouse (*Phyllotis darwini*). *Evolution*, **57**, 1679-1688.

Nespolo, R.F., Bustamante, D.M., Bacigalupe, L.D. & Bozinovic, F. (2005) Quantitative genetics of bioenergetics and growth-related traits in the wild mammal, *Phyllotis darwini*. *Evolution*, **59**, 1829-1837.

Nespolo, R.F. & Franco, M. (2007) Whole-animal metabolic rate is a repeatable trait: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **210**, 3877-3878.

Nespolo, R.F., Castañeda, L.E. & Roff, D.A. (2007) Quantitative genetic variation of metabolism in the nymphs of the sand cricket, *Gryllus firmus*, inferred from an analysis of inbred-lines. *Biological Research*, **40**, 5-12.

Nilsson, J.-Å., Akesson, M. & Nilsson, J.F. (2009) Heritability of resting metabolic rate in a wild

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

population of blue tits. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **22**, 1867-1874.

Pauls, R.W. (1981) Energetics of the red squirrel - a laboratory study of the effects of temperature, seasonal acclimatization, use of the nest and exercise. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **6**, 79-86.

R Development Core Team (2011) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. v. 2.13.1. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ricklefs, R.E. & Wikelski, M. (2002) The physiology/life-history nexus. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **17**, 462-468.

Réale, D., Berteaux, D., McAdam, A.G. & Boutin, S. (2003) Lifetime selection on heritable life-history traits in a natural population of red squirrels. *Evolution*, **57**, 2416-2423.

Réale, D., Garant, D., Humphries, M.M., Bergeron, P., Careau, V. & Montiglio, P.-O. (2010) Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **365**, 4051-4063.

Rønning, B., Jensen, H., Moe, B. & Bech, C. (2007) Basal metabolic rate: heritability and genetic correlations with morphological traits in the zebra finch. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **20**, 1815-1822.

Sadowska, E.T., Baliga-Klimczyk, K., Labocha, M.K. & Koteja, P. (2009) Genetic correlations in a wild rodent: grass-eaters and fast-growers evolve high basal metabolic rates. *Evolution*, **63**, 1530-1539.

Sadowska, E.T., Labocha, M.K., Baliga, K., Stanisz, A., Wróblewska, A.K., Jagusiak, W. & Koteja, P. (2005) Genetic correlations between basal and maximum metabolic rates in a wild rodent: consequences for evolution of endothermy. *Evolution*, **59**, 672-681.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

- Sadowska, J., Gębczyński, A.K. & Konarzewski, M. (2013) Basal metabolic rate is positively correlated with parental investment in laboratory mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, **280**.
- Scantlebury, M., Waterman, J.M., Hillegass, M., Speakman, J.R. & Bennett, N.C. (2007) Energetic costs of parasitism in the Cape ground squirrel *Xerus inauris*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, **274**, 2169-2177.
- Schimpf, N.G., Matthews, P.G.D. & White, C.R. (2012b) Cockroaches that exchange respiratory gases discontinuously survive food and water restriction. *Evolution*, **66**, 597-604.
- Schimpf, N.G., Matthews, P.G.D. & White, C.R. (2012a) Standard metabolic rate is associated with gestation duration, but not clutch size, in speckled cockroaches *Nauphoeta cinerea*. *Biology Open*, **1**, 1185-1191.
- Schimpf, N.G., Matthews, P.G.D. & White, C.R. (2013) Discontinuous gas exchange exhibition is a heritable trait in speckled cockroaches *Nauphoeta cinerea*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **26**, 1588-1597.
- Selman, C., Blount, J.D., Nussey, D.H. & Speakman, J.R. (2012) Oxidative damage, ageing, and life-history evolution: where now? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **27**, 570 - 577.
- Sheldon, B.C., Kruuk, L.E.B. & Merilä, J. (2003) Natural selection and inheritance of breeding time and clutch size in the collared flycatcher. *Evolution*, **57**, 406-420.
- Sheriff, M.J. & Love, O.P. (2013) Determining the adaptive potential of maternal stress. *Ecology Letters*, **16**, 271-280.
- Skibieli, A.L., Speakman, J.R. & Hood, W.R. (2013) Testing the predictions of energy allocation decisions in the evolution of life-history trade-offs. *Functional Ecology*, .

Speakman, J.R. (1997) *Doubly-labelled water: theory and practice*. Chapman and Hall, London.

Speakman, J.R. (2000) The cost of living: field metabolic rates of small mammals. *Advances in Ecological Research*, **30**, 177-297.

Speakman, J.R. & Król, E. (2010) The heat dissipation limit theory and evolution of life histories in endotherms - time to dispose of the disposable soma theory? *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **50**, 793-807.

Speakman, J.R., Król, E. & Johnson, M.S. (2004) The functional significance of individual variation in basal metabolic rate. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **77**, 900-915.

Speakman, J.R. & Racey, P.A. (1988) Consequences of non-steady-state CO₂ production for accuracy of the doubly labeled water technique - the importance of recapture interval. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A-Physiology*, **90**, 337-340.

Speakman, J.R., Racey, P.A., Haim, A., Webb, P.I., Ellison, G.T.H. & Skinner, J.D. (1994) Interindividual and intraindividual variation in daily energy expenditure of the pouched mouse (*Saccostomus campestris*). *Functional Ecology*, **8**, 336-342.

Stephenson, P.J. & Racey, P.A. (1993b) Reproductive energetics of the Tenrecidae (Mammalia: Insectivora). II. the shrew-tenrecs, *Microgale spp.*. *Physiological Zoology*, **66**, 664-685.

Stephenson, P.J. & Racey, P.A. (1993a) Reproductive energetics of the Tenrecidae (Mammalia: Insectivora). I. the large-eared tenrec, *Geogale aurita*. *Physiological Zoology*, **66**, 643-663.

Stinchcombe, J.R., Agrawal, A.F., Hohenlohe, P.A., Arnold, S.J. & Blows, M.W. (2008) Estimating nonlinear selection gradients using quadratic regression coefficients: double or nothing? *Evolution*, **62**, 2435-2440.

Swanson, D.L. (2001) Are summit metabolism and thermogenic endurance correlated in winter-

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

acclimatized passerine birds? *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology*, **171**, 475–481.

Szafrańska, P.A., Zub, K. & Konarzewski, M. (2007) Long-term repeatability of body mass and resting metabolic rate in free-living weasels, *Mustela nivalis*. *Functional Ecology*, **21**, 731-737.

Taylor, R.W., Boon, A.K., Dantzer, B., Réale, D., M., H.M., Boutin, S., Gorrell, J.C., Coltman, D.W. & McAdam, A.G. (2012) Low heritabilities, but genetic and maternal correlations between red squirrel behaviours. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **25**, 614–624.

Thomas, D.W., Blondel, J., Perret, P., Lambrechts, M.M. & Speakman, J.R. (2001) Energetic and fitness costs of mismatching resource supply and demand in seasonally breeding birds. *Science*, **291**, 2598-2600.

Tieleman, B.I., Versteegh, M.A., Helm, B. & Dingemanse, N.J. (2009) Quantitative genetics parameters show partial independent evolutionary potential for body mass and metabolism in stonechats from different populations. *Journal of Zoology*, **279**, 129-136.

Verhulst, S. & Tinbergen, J.M. (2001) Variation in food supply, time of breeding, and energy expenditure in birds. *Science*, **294**, 471a.

Vézina, F., Speakman, J.R. & Williams, T.D. (2006) Individually variable energy management strategies in relation to energetic costs of egg production. *Ecology*, **87**, 2447-2458.

Welcker, J., Harding, A.M.A., Kitaysky, A.S., Speakman, J.R. & Gabrielsen, G.W. (2009) Daily energy expenditure increases in response to low nutritional stress in an Arctic-breeding seabird with no effect on mortality. *Functional Ecology*, **23**, 1081-1090.

Welcker, J., Moe, B., Bech, C., Fyhn, M., Schultner, J., Speakman, J.R. & Gabrielsen, G.W.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

(2010) Evidence for an intrinsic energetic ceiling in free-ranging kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **79**, 205-213.

Westerterp, K.R. & Speakman, J.R. (2008) Physical activity energy expenditure has not declined since the 1980s and matches energy expenditures of wild mammals. *International Journal of Obesity*, **32**, 1256-1263.

White, C.R. & Kearney, M.R. (2013) Determinants of inter-specific variation in basal metabolic rate. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B-Biochemical, Systems, and Environmental Physiology*, **183**, 1-26.

White, C.R., Schimpf, N.G. & Cassey, P. (2013) The repeatability of metabolic rate declines with time. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **216**, 1763-1765.

Wieser, W. (1994) Cost of growth in cells and organisms: general rules and comparative aspects. *Biological Reviews*, **69**, 1-33.

Wilson, A.J. (2008) Why h^2 does not always equal VA/VP? *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **21**, 647-650.

Wilson, A.J., Réale, D., Clements, M. N., Morrissey, M. M., Postma, E., Walling, C. A., Kruuk, L. E. B. & Nussey, D. H. (2010). An ecologist's guide to the animal model. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **79**, 13-26.

Wone, B., Sears, M.W., Labocha, M.K., Donovan, E.R. & Hayes, J.P. (2009) Genetic variances and covariances of aerobic metabolic rates in laboratory mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, **276**, 3695-3704.

Yodzis, P. & Innes, S. (1992) Body size and consumer-resource dynamics. *American Naturalist*, **139**, 1151-1175.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Zera, A.J. & Harshman, L.G. (2001) The physiology of life history trade-offs in animals. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **32**, 95-126.

Zub, K., Piertney, S., Szafrńska, P.A. & Konarzewski, M. (2012) Environmental and genetic influences on body mass and resting metabolic rates (RMR) in a natural population of weasel *Mustela nivalis*. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**, 1283-1293.

Supporting Information

Table S1. AIC_c analysis of the predictors of lactation DEE.

Table S2. Summary statistics for squirrel pedigree.

Figure S3. Scatter-plots examining the predictors of lactation DEE.

Table S4. Standardized quadratic selection gradients

Table S5. Animal model analysis examining the sources of variation influencing lactation DEE.

Table 1. Observational studies examining the relationship between energetic traits and fitness

(survival, reproductive success, or surrogates thereof). These studies were conducted on animals in captivity, in field enclosures, as well as on free-ranging animals.

| Study type / Species | Energetic trait(s) | # indivs. | Survival | Reproductive success | Ref. |
|---|--------------------|-----------|--|--|------|
| Captivity | | | | | |
| cotton rat (<i>Sigmodon hispidus</i>) | BMR | 115 | | energy investment into reproduction | 1 |
| deer mouse (<i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i>) | BMR | 47 | | litter size, litter mass, inter-litter interval | 2 |
| mice (<i>Mus domesticus</i>) | BMR, MMR | 74 | | litter size, litter mass, mean offspring mass | 3 |
| large-eared tenrecs (<i>Geogale aurita</i>) | DEE, RMR | 10 | | litter size | 4 |
| shrew tenrecs (<i>Microgale dobsoni</i>) | RMR | 4 | | litter size, neonate mass, litter mass | 5 |
| laboratory mice (<i>Mus musculus</i>) | RMR | 108 | | litter size, litter mass, mean offspring mass | 6 |
| Zebra Finches (<i>Taeniopygia guttata</i>) | DEE, RMR | 24 | | clutch size, clutch mass, brood mass | 7 |
| C57BL/6J mice | BMR | 304 | | litter mass, litter size, pup mass at birth and weaning, weaning success, pup loses prior to weaning | 8 |
| MF1 mice (<i>Mus musculus</i>) | RMR | 271 | | litter size or litter mass at weaning | 9 |
| speckled cockroach (<i>Nauphoeta cinerea</i>) | SMR | 174 | | gestation duration, number of offspring | 10 |
| speckled cockroach (<i>Nauphoeta cinerea</i>) | SMR | 96 | survival during food and water restriction | | 11 |
| Swiss Webster mice | BMR | 30 | | offspring growth rate | 12 |
| Field enclosures | | | | | |
| common garden snail (<i>Helix aspersa</i>) | SMR | 285 | mark-recapture study | | 13 |
| bank voles (<i>Myodes glareolus</i>) | BMR | 136 | overwinter survival | | 14 |

Free-ranging

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|-------------------------------------|--|----|
| golden-mantled ground squirrels (<i>Spermophilus saturatus</i>) | DEE | 20 | | litter size | 15 |
| Pied Flycatchers (<i>Ficedula hypoleuca</i>) | DEE | 41 | | chick growth rate | 16 |
| deer mouse (<i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i>) | MMR | 278 | mark-recapture study | | 17 |
| short-tailed field vole (<i>Microtus agrestis</i>) | RMR | 173 | overwinter survival | | 18 |
| brown trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>) | SMR | 347 | mark-recapture study | | 19 |
| Leach's Storm-Petrels (<i>Oceanodroma leucorhoa</i>) | BMR | 95 | | egg volume, hatching date, hatching success, chick growth rates | 20 |
| bank vole (<i>Myodes glareolus</i>)* | BMR, MMR | 337 | mark-recapture study | | 21 |
| bank vole (<i>Myodes glareolus</i>)* | BMR, MMR | 153 | | mating success and offspring recruitment based on reconstructed parentage analyses | 22 |
| Little Auk (<i>Alle alle</i>) | DEE | 43 | survival until next breeding season | | 23 |
| N. American red squirrels (<i>Tamiasciurus hudsonicus</i>) | RMR, DEE | 53 | overwinter survival | | 24 |
| eastern chipmunk (<i>Tamias striatus</i>) | DEE | 57 | | litter size | 25 |
| eastern chipmunk (<i>Tamias striatus</i>) | DEE, RMR | 68 | juvenile overwinter survival | | 26 |

The total number of individuals with energetic trait measures are given in the table regardless of the number of energetic traits that were quantified on these individuals. Resting metabolic rate (RMR) is the minimal rate of metabolism necessary to maintain basic physiological processes in a thermoneutral animal. Basal metabolic rate (BMR) is a similar measure to RMR, but it also requires that the animal is non-growing, non-reproductive, and in a post-absorptive state. Standard metabolic rate (SMR) is the minimum cost of maintenance in ectotherm organisms exposed to a specified temperature. Daily energy expenditure (DEE) equals the total amount of energy expended over the period of a day, or over the period of multiple days. Maximum

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

metabolic rate (MMR) quantifies the maximum metabolic capacity of an animal. Animals can be pushed to their MMR using different tests (treadmill, cold, or forced swim).

- Refs. 1. Derting & McClure 1989, 2. Earle & Lavigne 1990, 3. Hayes et al. 1992, 4. Stephenson & Racey 1993a, 5. Stephenson & Racey 1993b, 6. Johnson et al. 2001a, 7. Vézina, Speakman & Williams 2006, 8. Johnston et al. 2007, 9. Duarte et al. 2010, 10. Schimpf, Matthews & White 2012a, 11. Schimpf, Matthews & White 2012b, 12. Sadowska, Gębczyński & Konarzewski 2013, 13. Artacho & Nespolo 2009, 14. Boratyński et al. 2010, 15. Kenagy et al. 1990, 16. Moreno, Potti & Merino 1997, 17. Hayes & O'Connor 1999, 18. Jackson, Trayhurn & Speakman 2001, 19. Álvarez & Nicieza 2005, 20. Blackmer et al. 2005, 21. Boratyński & Koteja 2009, 22. Boratyński & Koteja 2010, 23. Welcker et al. 2010, 24. Larivée et al. 2010, 25. Bergeron et al. 2011, 26. Careau et al. 2013

*Paired studies on the same population of bank voles.

Table 2. Correlates of lactation DEE (linear-mixed effects model incorporating repeated measures on individuals over seven years). Lactation DEE was quantified on free-ranging squirrels using the doubly-labeled water technique between 35 and 40 days after a female had given birth to her litter.

| | Full model coef. \pm SE | χ^2 | <i>P</i> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|----------|
| Maternal body mass (g) | 1.1 \pm 0.7 | 2.55 | 0.11 |
| Parturition date (d. since 1-Jan) | 1.5 \pm 1.0 | 2.54 | 0.11 |
| Maternal Age (years) | 7.6 \pm 11.2 | 0.56 | 0.46 |

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------|
| Average nestling growth (g/day) | -13.7 ± 39.6 | 0.11 | 0.74 |
| Litter size | -1.6 ± 18.1 | 7.9 ^{e-3} | 0.93 |
| Year (random) | | 11.3 | 0.0008 |
| ID (random) | | 0 | 1 |

All fixed effect predictors were nonsignificant, and thus were removed from the model using a backwards stepwise elimination procedure working from the bottom of the table upwards. χ^2 and P values are those that were used to eliminate terms from the linear-mixed model. The coefficients of the fixed effects from the full model are plotted in Figure 1. The significance of the random effects of year and ID were tested in a model that excluded the fixed effects.

Table 3. Standardized linear selection gradients ($\beta' \pm SE$) for six maternal traits in two separate analyses. Annual reproductive success (ARS) and maternal survival were the dependent variables in these two analyses.

| | ARS $\beta' \pm SE$ | Maternal survival $\beta' \pm SE$ |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Lactation DEE | 0.49 ± 0.16 | 0.04 ± 0.09 |
| Maternal body mass | 0.09 ± 0.18 | 0.04 ± 0.09 |
| Maternal age | -0.23 ± 0.12* | -0.17 ± 0.09* |
| Parturition date | -0.21 ± 0.15 | -0.1 ± 0.09 |
| Average nestling growth | 0.06 ± 0.16 | 0.03 ± 0.09 |
| Litter size | 0.08 ± 0.12 | -0.11 ± 0.08 |

Bold estimates are significant at $P < 0.05$. Starred values had P values between 0.05 and 0.10.

Significance was assessed by jackknifing.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Table 4. Animal model analysis examining the sources of variation influencing lactation DEE of free-ranging squirrels. A suite of fixed effects related to the environment (food availability, squirrel density) and typical correlates of reproductive performance and investment (maternal mass, parturition date, and nestling growth rate) were included in the model.

| Model | V_P | V_R | V_{YEAR} | V_I | V_A | V_{PE} | V_M | r | h^2 | pe | m^2 | LogL |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------|-------|------|-------|---------------|
| V_{YEAR} | 14174.4 (1759.1) | 13831.4 (1667.5) | 343.0 (754.1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 761.94 |
| V_{YEAR} + V_I | 14174.5 (1759.0) | 13831.5 (1667.5) | 343.0 (754.0) | 1.0 ^{e-2} * | - | - | - | 0.0* | - | - | - | 761.75 |
| V_{YEAR} + V_A + V_{PE} | 14174.5 (1759.0) | 13831.5 (1667.5) | 343.0 (754.0) | - | 4.6 ^{e-3} * | 1.7 ^{e-3} * | - | - | 0.0* | 0.0* | - | 761.75 |
| V_{YEAR} + V_A + V_{PE} + V_M | 14174.5 (1759.0) | 13831.5 (1667.5) | 343.0 (754.0) | - | 4.3 ^{e-3} * | 3.9 ^{e-3} * | 4.9 ^{e-2} * | - | 0.0* | 0.0* | 0.0 | 761.75 |

Variance components (\pm SE in brackets) are presented for four different random effect models.

V_P , V_R , and V_{YEAR} refer to the total phenotypic variance, the residual variance, and the variance associated with year, respectively. In the second model, the individual variance (V_I) is not partitioned into V_A (additive genetic variance) and V_{PE} (permanent environment variance). In the third model, V_I is the sum of V_A and V_{PE} . V_M (maternal variance) is only examined in the fourth model. The repeatability (r), narrow-sense heritability (h^2), the permanent environment effects (pe), and the maternal effects (m^2) are presented where applicable. Log-likelihood values are provided for each model. The most supported model based on log likelihoods is indicated in bold. Dashed cells were not fitted. Cells with asterisks reflect variance components and SEs that were fixed to a small positive value by ASReml - SEs are not shown in these cells.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Correlations between partial residual lactation DEE and potential measures of reproductive performance (maternal mass and age) and investment (parturition date, litter size, average nestling growth rate). Partial residual lactation DEE values hold constant the influence of all other correlates listed in the bottom row (coefficients presented in Table 1; model includes random effects of year and ID). The scales of the y-axes are not given because these values are uninformative.

Figure 2. Positive selection on lactation DEE for increased annual reproductive success. (a) The relationship between partial residual annual reproductive success and lactation DEE. Partial residual annual reproductive success holds constant the effects of the other modeled traits in the selection gradient analysis (Table 3). Annual reproductive success reflects relative fitness, which is calculated by dividing the number of each females offspring that survived overwinter by the population average number of offspring from each female that survived overwinter. The standardized selection gradient for lactation DEE (β' , Table 3) is represented by the slope of the line. The standardized selection gradient for lactation DEE is significant after removing the two potential outlier points ($\beta' = 0.46 \pm 0.12$, $P = 0.002$) with residual fitness values greater than six. (b) To reflect raw values of ARS and lactation DEE, lactation DEE values were ranked, and then grouped into five groups that each encompassed 20% of the lactation DEE values. Within each group, the 25th percentiles, medians (intersection), and 75th percentiles of relative annual reproductive success and raw DEE are plotted. The 25th percentile and median ARS values for the first three groups are zero, but they have been staggered around zero to show the 25th and 75th

percentiles of DEE.

Figure 3. Lactation DEE was not correlated with a suite of potential fitness-costs that could be realized the following year. The five potential fitness-costs we examined were: (a) annual reproductive success, (b) lactation DEE, (c) parturition dates, (d) litter size, and (e) average nestling growth rates. All maternal traits (DEE, parturition date, litter size, average nestling growth) were standardized (zero mean, unit standard deviation) within each year. Annual reproductive success reflects relative fitness (see Figure 2 legend or Methods for details). t - and P -values from the linear regressions are presented in the top right corner of each panel.







